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I. Modern Money Theory

A sovereign government that issues its own currency cannot run out of that currency.
Conventional Model of Government Spending

PS = private sector
FG = Federal Government
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Including debt repayments...
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Entitlement spending, China, ....
Reality check...
Where does federal spending go?
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GOVERNMENT SPENDING GOES BACK INTO THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

But if this model is right, we still have a hopeless budgetary problem...
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THE FUNDAMENTAL FLAW...

"only the sovereign U.S. Government can issue U.S. Dollars..."
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STEP ONE: Government spends by *creating new money.*
MMT: Government Finance Process

STEP ONE: Government spends by creating new money.

STEP TWO: Taxes remove/destroy money.
STEP ONE: Government spends by *creating new money*.

STEP TWO: Taxes remove/destroy money.

STEP THREE: Bond Sales *temporarily* remove money.
According to MMT, Taxes and bonds do NOT finance govt spending: spending via new money creation *always comes first.*
Full MMT Model of Sovereign Spending
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MMT: Lessons and Implications

• SPENDING CREATES NEW MONEY (i.e. new high-powered money by crediting bank reserves)
SPENDING CREATES NEW MONEY (i.e. new high-powered money by crediting bank reserves)

*For MMT, money-creation is NOT an alternative to Taxation or Borrowing.
MMT: Lessons and Implications

- Sovereign currency issuers have **NO budgetary constraints**... (constraints are political or self-imposed; unlike households)
- Can afford to buy anything sold in that currency
- Money is not a ‘thing’ which can be scarce; it is not a *thing* at all!
TAX REVENUE < SPENDING

“Government”  
(Central Bank + Treasury)

Private Sector

SPENDING  +  TAXES
Federal deficits are normal; surpluses are temporary.

Why? A government cannot collect in taxes more money than it has issued.

Deficit spending → new/net money
Accounting Fundamentals
## Accounting Fundamentals

Consider a banker and a borrower ‘Bob’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Banker</th>
<th>“Bob”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ASSETS</strong></td>
<td><strong>LIABILITIES</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZERO</td>
<td>ZERO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Banker creates new money by “lending” to Bob, say $100...
$100 is credited to Bob’s checking account (+ $100 assets), which Banker promises to redeem in cash (Bank’s $100 liability)
$100 is credited to Bob’s checking account (+ $100 assets), which Banker promises to redeem in cash (Bank’s $100 liability)
Bob also **owes** $100 + interest (Bob’s liability); and the Banker is **owed** $100 + interest (Banker’s assets)
National ‘Debt’ = National ‘Savings’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS (what I own, and what is owed to me)</th>
<th>LIABILITIES AND NET WORTH (what I owe)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Financial Assets (FA)</td>
<td>Financial Liabilities (FL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Assets (RA)</td>
<td>Net Worth (NW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHY DOES THIS MATTER?

PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

Public liabilities = Private assets
PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

Non-Public Sector
Businesses, Households (ignoring foreign sector)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>“Liability”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

$10 SPENDING

Non-Public Sector
Businesses, Households
(ignoring foreign sector)
PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

Note: the $100 is a “liability” of the govt by convention only! It is an “IOU” of the government in the sense that it must accept back as a means of settling tax obligations.
PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

$10 SPENDING

BOND SALE $5

ASSETS | “Liability”
---|---
$10cash | $10cash

ASSETS | Liability
---|---
$10cash | ---
PUBLIC DEBT = PRIVATE SAVINGS

$10 SPENDING

BOND SALE $5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>“Liability”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 cash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$5 IOU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>Liability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$5 cash</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 IOU</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Opposing Views on ‘Austerity’

**Conventional**
- Persistent deficits should be avoided
- Austerity is the remedy for too much ‘borrowing.’
- **NO PAIN, NO GAIN**

**MMT**
- Persistent deficits are normal.
- Austerity is unnecessary and self-imposed.
- **ALL PAIN, NO GAIN!**

Austerity protests in Greece
MMT and Neo-Chartalism

• “TAXES DRIVE MONEY”
  – People accept money as a means of exchange so long as people will need money to pay taxes and other involuntary obligations. (Taxation is a Sufficient, but not a Necessary condition.)
  – State or Legal Theories of Money have a long history...
MMT and Neo-Chartalism

“TAXES DRIVE MONEY”

– People accept money as a means of exchange so long as people will need money to pay taxes and other involuntary obligations. (Taxation is a Sufficient, but not a Necessary condition.)

– State or Legal Theories of Money have a long history...

Aristotle: “Money (nomisma) by itself is but a mere device. It has value only by law (nomos) and not by nature.”
MMT and Neo-chartalism

• “TAXES DRIVE MONEY”
  – People accept money as a means of exchange so long as people will need money to pay taxes and other involuntary obligations. (Taxation is a Sufficient, but not a Necessary condition.)
  – State or Legal Theories of Money have a long history...

Knapp’s State Theory of Money (1895); (English 1925)
Keynes A Treatise on Money (1930)
Minsky Stabilizing an Unstable Economy (1986)
1. National Deficits and Debts are not problems in themselves; they are usually sustainable and to be expected.

2. **Employer of Last Resort (ELR)** – i.e. the *Job Guarantee*.
   - Replace old gold standard with a new *labor standard* of money.
II. MONETARY REFORMERS

American Monetary Institute (AMI)

Dennis Kucinich’s Monetary Reform Bill HR 2990

www.positivemoney.org  www.monetary.org  sovereignmoney.eu
Monetary Reform Goes Mainstream?

FINANCIAL TIMES
April 24, 2014 1:32 pm
Strip private banks of their power to create money
By Martin Wolf
The giant hole at the heart of our market economies needs to be plugged

IMF Working Paper
The Chicago Plan Revisited
Jaromir Benes and Michael Kumhof

Abstract
At the height of the Great Depression a number of leading U.S. economists advanced a proposal for monetary reform that became known as the Chicago Plan. It envisaged the separation of the monetary and credit functions of the banking system, by requiring 100% reserve backing for deposits. Irving Fisher (1936) claimed the following advantages for this plan: (1) Much better control of a major source of business cycle fluctuations, sudden increases and contractions of bank credit and of the supply of bank-created money. (2) Complete elimination of bank runs. (3) Dramatic reduction of the (net) public debt. (4) Dramatic reduction of private debt, as money creation no longer requires simultaneous debt creation. We study these claims by embedding a comprehensive and carefully calibrated model of the banking system in a DSGE model of the U.S. economy. We find support for all four of Fisher’s claims. Furthermore, output gains approach 10 percent, and steady state inflation can drop to zero without posing problems for the conduct of monetary policy.
AMI Proposals
(and MMT criticisms)

1. Enable Treasury to create new money*
   
   i.e. to spend money into existence, currently the Fed lends money into existence.
   
   Bank of England already has this power.
   
   *MMT argues that this is already the case everywhere!
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   - *MMT argues that this is already the case everywhere!*

2. **Prohibit money creation by private banks**
   - 100% Reserves; abolish Fractional Reserve Banking... Irving Fisher, etc.
   - *MMT ignores that most money is created by private banks; does not address fractional reserve banking.*
AMI Proposals
(and MMT criticisms)

1. **Enable Treasury to create new money***
   - i.e. to *spend money into existence*, currently the Fed *lends money into existence*.
   - Bank of England already has this power.
   - *MMT argues that this is already the case everywhere!*

2. **Prohibit money creation by private banks**
   - 100% Reserves; abolish Fractional Reserve Banking... Irving Fisher, etc.
   - *MMT ignores that most money is created by private banks; does not address fractional reserve banking.*

3. **Massive spending (infrastructure, etc.)**
Federal Budget Financing in the U.S.  
(mainstream account)

Whatever bonds the other banks do not purchase, the Federal Reserve purchases. The Federal Reserve can exercise a power that the Treasury cannot: it can simply create the money from nothing. But it creates this money as public debt, i.e. the government’s liability to the Fed.
HOW IS MONEY CREATED?

Two views:

1. **Exogenous Money** and the Money Multiplier Myth...

2. **Endogenous Money** – banks create money independent of their reserve position
HOW IS MONEY CREATED?

Two views:

1. **Exogenous Money** and the Money Multiplier Myth…

2. **Endogenous Money** – banks create money independent of their reserve position

“In the modern economy, most money takes the form of bank deposits. But how those bank deposits are created is often misunderstood: the principal way is through commercial banks making loans. Whenever a bank makes a loan, it simultaneously creates a matching deposit in the borrower’s bank account, thereby creating new money.”

-Bank of England’s Quarterly Bulletin 2014 Q1
Money Multiplier Myth

Two Steps:
1. The ‘Fed’ lends new money into existence to banks.
2. These private banks then take this new money and create 10x this amount through fractional reserve banking. This process is called the money multiplier process.
   - The total amount created will be equal to the inverse of the reserve ratio.
Money Multiplier Myth

Low Reserve Ratio

Money multiplied by lending

High Reserve Ratio

RESERVE RATIO DETERMINES STEEPNESS OF PYRAMID SIDES

www.positivemoney.org
‘Bank Money’
creation and destruction

Banks
‘finance’

Lending new money

Repayment of loans

Non-Banking Sectors

+
Endogenous Money

• **Banks create money by making loans.** In other words, loans create deposits.
  
  – Investment ≠ Savings (Contra IS-LM; ‘loanable funds’, etc.)
  
  – Central banks do not restrict lending by limiting the supply of reserves. Instead, they accommodate banks, supplying reserves as needed *ex post*
Endogenous Money

- **Banks create money by making loans.** In other words, loans create deposits.
  - Investment ≠ Savings (Contra IS-LM; ‘loanable funds’, etc.)
  - Central banks do not restrict lending by limiting the supply of reserves. Instead, they accommodate banks, supplying reserves as needed *ex post*
Endogenous Money

- **Banks create money by making loans.** In other words, loans create deposits.
  - Investment ≠ Savings (Contra IS-LM; ‘loanable funds’, etc.)
  - Central banks do not restrict lending by limiting the supply of reserves. Instead, they accommodate banks, supplying reserves as needed *ex post*
Endogenous Money

• Bank lending is primarily determined by (and constrained by) the willingness of banks to lend, i.e. on their expectations of profitability of lending and hence, their confidence in the overall economy.
III. Bank Money and Inequality

• In Picketty’s *Capital*, growing inequality is explained with a simple formula:

\[ r > g \]

• “When the rate of return on capital exceeds the rate of growth of output and income ... capitalism automatically generates arbitrary and unsustainable inequalities...”

• MIGHT OUR MONETARY SYSTEMS EXPLAIN THIS RETURN ON CAPITAL?
Does our monetary system exacerbate inequality of wealth and income?
Bank Money and Inequality

- Here is what happens when $10 of interest is applied: Banker’s assets increase $10, and “Bob’s” liabilities increase $10. This is a net transfer of wealth of $10.
The application of interest makes the financial assets of the banker and the financial liability of the borrower grow exponentially.

Assets still equal liabilities in the aggregate, but the net financial wealth of the bank increases by the same amount as the net financial wealth of the borrower decreases.
Unlike governments and unlike banks, “Bob” can only acquire the money to repay his liability from somewhere else. From where?

In the aggregate, all new money comes either from the government (in the form of deficit spending) or from banks (in the form of private loans’).
Bank Money and Inequality

- New money (i.e. credit) is primarily *loaned* into existence by private banks.
- Because of the application of interest, total debt will always exceed the size of the existing money supply to repay it.
Bank Money and Inequality

1. The current system functions like a pyramid scheme: it is built on the expectation of infinite, exponential growth.

2. This is impossible, because aggregate financial wealth always nets to zero. (assets=liabilities).

3. Interest payments generally do not recycle back into the general population as earned income.
Bank Money and Inequality

• **COMPOUNDING INTEREST ➔ INEQUALITY**

• Compounding interest means that creditors exponentially expand their claims on wealth.
The debt pyramid is like a game of musical chairs: in the aggregate, the total liability of the borrowers can only be paid off (cancelled) with the creation of new money.

New (net) money comes from only two possible sources:

1. Private banks, which will lend the money, thus reinforcing the debt cycle, or
2. Government, which can deficit spend, i.e. spend more than it collects in taxes, thus adding net reserves to the system.
Income earned by the top 10% (1970-2010)

Percentage of Total Income Earned by the Top 10 Percent
United States (1970-2009)

Source: Picketty and Saez
Wealth Inequality in the US
Share of income growth going to top 1%

12 countries 1975-2007

In some countries, one fifth or more of total income growth was captured by the top 1%

Share of income growth going to income groups from 1975 to 2007

Note: Incomes refer to pre-tax incomes, excluding capital gains
Source: OECD calculations based on the World Top Income Database.
Debt and Financial Profit as a % of Total Debt and Total Profits
1976-2008

Variables
- Debt %
- Profits %

Percentage

Year
Hierarchy of Payments

TWO TYPES OF MONEY:

1. Central bank reserves –
   Banks need these reserves in order to settle net transactions with other banks.

2. Deposits - we make payments between each other using deposits, created by banks when making loans.
OPEN QUESTIONS

1. Why does the Treasury have to ‘borrow’ money from the Fed? If it doesn’t, as MMT claims, why does everyone think it does? Wouldn’t it be a good idea codify this into law?

2. To what extent does the application of interest exacerbate inequality? Does the universal expectation of growth (implied by interest) make perpetual growth a requirement for our economy and hence, guarantee its unsustainability?